## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

AUTUMN GRIMES,

Case No.: 2:24-cv-00850-HL

v.

**ORDER** 

MP TECHNOLOGIES, LLC,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

## Adrienne Nelson, District Judge

United States Magistrate Judge Andrew D. Hallman issued a Findings and Recommendation in this case on May 30, 2025, recommending that this Court grant defendant's motion to dismiss for failure to prosecute. No party has filed objections. The matter is now before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

A district court judge may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If any party files objections to a magistrate judge's proposed findings and recommendations, "the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report." Id. No standard of review is prescribed for the portions of the report for which no objections are filed, and no review is required in the absence of objections. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152-54 (1985). A district court judge is not, however, precluded from sua sponte review of other portions of the report, under a de novo standard or otherwise. Id. at 154. The Advisory Committee notes to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b) recommend that, when no objection is filed, the findings and recommendation be reviewed for "clear error on the face of the record." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b) advisory committee's note to 1983 amendment.

Because no party in this case has made objections, this Court reviews Judge Hallman's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the face of the record. Finding no such error, the Court ADOPTS Judge Hallman's Findings and Recommendation, ECF [17]. Defendant's Motion to Lift Stay of Proceedings and Dismiss with Prejudice, ECF [13], is GRANTED. This case is DISMISSED with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 17th day of July, 2025.

Adrienne Nelson

United States District Judge